



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/487,239	01/20/2000	Norikane Nabata	Q57646	2929
75	90 07/08/2002			
Sughrue Mion Macpeak & Seas PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N W Washington, DC 20037			EXAMINER VO, HAI	
			1771	9
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2002	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		A3-9				
	Application No.	pplicant(s)				
	09/487,239	NABATA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hai Vo	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, howeve y within the statutory minim vill apply and will expire SI) . cause the application to b	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. scome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fina	ıl.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 8-11</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application	n has been received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) other:				

Art Unit: 1771

٠,٠٠٠

1. Claim 1 has been cancelled in the amendment received on 05/08/2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Tanaka et al (US 5,772,884) in view of Herding et al (US 5,547,481). Tanaka
 discloses a laminate comprising a porous PTFE film and a porous polyethylene
 as the porous reinforcing polyethylene coated on either one or both sides of the
 porous PTFE film (column 4, line 34-55). Tanaka does not specifically disclose
 the porous reinforcing material formed from Ultra High Polyethylene (UHPE).
 Herding teaches a filter element comprising a porous shaped body made
 substantially of UHPE that forms a binding skeleton between the grains of UHPE
 (column 7, lines 1-5 and figure 4). Thus, it would have been obvious to one
 having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a
 porous UHPE with the grain shape as taught by Herding as the porous
 reinforcing material for the laminate of Tanaka motivated by the desire to obtain a
 laminate which is useful as a filter.
- 4. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al (US 5,772,884) in view of Herding et al (US 5,547,481) as applied to

Application/Control Number: 09/487,239 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

claim 3 above, further in view of Dauber et al (US 5,916,671). The combination of the primary and secondary references fails to suggest the filter that is made of the laminate and holds an adsorbent. Dauber discloses a gasket comprising a layer of a porous PTFE film and a stiffening layer of polyethylene (abstract and column 8, lines 10-15). Dauber discloses a gasket having three dimensions (length, width and height) shown in example 8 holding adsorbent particles (column 7, lines 42-50). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a filter that is made of the laminate and holds an adsorbent as taught in Dauber motivated by the desire to enhance the performance of the filter

With regard to claims 7, Dauber is silent as to the shape of the laminate. *In re Dailey*, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976), there is no evidence in the specification of the present invention that convinces the examiner that the particular shape of the laminate is significant or is anything more than one of numerous shapes a person of ordinary skill in the art would find obvious for the purpose of providing the shape of the laminate, therefore, the shape of the laminate in itself would not render the claims patentable over Tanaka as modified by Herding and Dauber. See *Graham v. John Deere Co*.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6, and 8-11 are allowed. The reasons for allowance have been given in the Paper no. 6.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 1771

Page 4

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-5 and 7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The art rejections in Paper no. 6 have been overcome by the present response.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (703) 6054426. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8:30 to 5:00
(EAST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

HV June 28, 2002

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700